INDEX

VOLUME 21

Academic Skills Inventory, 3:1-2, 14-15
Accounting majors, 1:4
Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology, 3:15
Aggregated assessment results, 6:9
Agile programming, 3:11
Alexander, L., 1:13
American Association of State Colleges and Universities, 1:8, 13-14; 3:13; 4:4; 5:14
American Evaluation Association, 3:5
American Political Science Association, Annual Teaching and Learning Conference, 6:14
American Reinvestment and Recovery Act, 5:11
Aristotle University, Department of Business and Economics, 1:10
ASSESS listserv, 6:3
Assessment, challenges, 5:6-7
Assessment, clarification of term, 3:8
Assessment, moving out of individual classrooms, 5:7-8
Assessment committee, 6:5
Assessment Council, 4:11
Assessment literature, 4:3
Assessment of Higher Education Learning Outcomes, 4:4, 16
Assessment Reconsidered: Institutional Effectiveness for Student Success, 2:15
Association of American Colleges and Universities, 6:15
Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business, 1:4
Axelson, R. D., 3:5

Baird, L., 1:8
Banta, T. W., 1:1; 2:3; 3:3; 4:3; 6:3
Bennett, B., 6:1
Bennett, D., 4:15
Best Practices in Student Affairs Conference, 2:10
Biology major, 3:1
Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, 3:13; 5:12
Black, K., 2:3; 3:3
Bochner, J., 4:8
Bologna Process, 4:4; 6:3, 7
Bowers, P., 5:3
Bradley, W. J., 3:10
Bresciani, M., 2:10
Brigham Young University, Division of Continuing Education, 5:9-10
Budget planning, integration of assessment, 5:9-9, 16
Business management course, 1:10

California State University, Sacramento, 5:9
Calvin College, 3:10-11
Campus surveys, 1:7
Carnegie Corporation of New York, 4:4
Characteristics of Excellence in Higher Education, 2:10
CIRP College Senior Survey, 1:7
Civic engagement, assessment, 5:14
Class teamwork, 1:11
Clayton, P., 2:3; 3:4
Client, 6:5-7
Cluphf, D. J., 4:5
Cognitive interviews, 1:7
Cognitive Level and Quality of Writing Assessment, 2:3
College Senior Survey, 6:14
College Student Experiences Questionnaire, 1:7
College Student Report, 6:14
Colloquium on Change in Accounting Education, 1:4
Commission on the Future of Higher Education, 1:13; 3:7; 4:1; 5:12
Community college, assessment strategies, 2:10
Community College Survey of Student Engagement, 4:12-13
Consultant, 6:5-7
Cooperative learning, 1:4, 6
Core Values, 5:3
Cosgrove, J. J., 4:11
Council for Higher Education Accreditation, 1:14
Course-level assessment, 2:2, 13
Courses, defining specific content, 5:7
Cox, M., 3:3
Crawford, C., 6:1
Criterion-referenced assessment instruments, 6:10
Critical thinking, assessing skills, 4:8
Critical thinking, building, 1:10
Curriculum, restructuring, 6:14
Curriculum, values of exploration and standardization, 5:6

Deaf undergraduates, critical thinking assessment, 4:8
DEAL, 2:3
Degree Specification Project, 2:8
Degrees of Preparation, 1:7
Designing Better Engineering Education Through Assessment, 3:15
Designing Effective Assessment: Principles and Profiles of Good Practice, 2:3; 3:3
Dialectic, 1:1-2
Direction of assessment and institutional research, 3:10
Diversity, as learning goal, 5:3-5
Dungy, G. J., 2:15

Ebersole, T. E., 2:1
EC2000 criteria, 3:15
Education Sciences Reform Act, 6:11
English, students with limited proficiency, 4:8
English major, 2:8
European Association for Institutional Research, 6:3, 7
Evaluation, clarification of term, 3:8
Every Kid a Winner: Accountability in Education, 4:2
Ewell, P. T., 1:13; 3:12; 5:11
Expert opinion, in questionnaire design, 1:7

Faculty, assessment resistance, 6:8
Faculty, attitudes toward civic engagement, 5:14-15
Faculty, changing views toward assessment, 2:14
Faculty, early-adopting leaders, 3:5
Faculty, engagement in assessment programs, 2:1-2, 13-14
Faculty, professional development opportunities, 3:3-4
Faculty learning communities, 3:3
Feasibility standards, 3:6-7
Fendrich, L., 1:15; 3:6
Ferris State University, 3:3
Flateby, T., 2:3; 3:4
Flick, A., 1:1, 3; 3:5
Focus groups, 1:7-8
Fort Hays State University, Department of Political Science, 6:1, 14-16
Frederick Community College, 2:10-11
Freedom of expression, 5:4
Freshman Interest Groups, 6:11
Freshmen, motivating, 1:10
Friedman, S., 2:3, 5
Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education, 1:7; 4:3-4

Gabbin, A. L., 1:4
General education, assessment, 2:5
Goldman, G. K., 3?8
Good practice principles, 2:3
Gould, L., 6:1
Grades, outcome assessment and, 5:1-2
Grading rubrics, 6:14
Graduate and Professional Student Survey, 1:8-9
Graduation Rate Survey, 3:12-13
Greece, access to higher education, 1:10
Grevill, E. C., 5:1
Group testing, 1:4-6
Griffin, M., 6:4
Gustafson, M., 4:8

Haney, R., 2:10
Harper, V. B., Jr., 4:1
Health studies undergraduate program, assessment, 6:5-6
High School and Beyond, 3:12
Higher education, accountability, 1:13
Higher Education Act, 1:13-14; 5:12
Higher Education Research Institute 5:14
Higher Learning Commission, 3:10-11
Honoring the Trust: Quality and Cost Containment in Higher Education, 6:1
Horizontal assessment, 3:10-11
Hoyt, J. E., 5:9
Humanities, 1:1, 15
Hurney, C. A., 3:1

Impact of assessment findings, 2:3
Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis, 1:7-8; 5:14-15
Institute of Education Sciences, 5:11; 6:11
Institutions, creating healthy assessment culture, 6:9-10
Instrumental variables, 6:12-13
Interfaculty review, 5:13
Internal consultant model, 6:5-7
Interrater reliability, 1:1-2, 15

James Madison University, 2:3; 3:1, 14; 6:6
Johnson and Wales University, 3:4
Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation, 3:5
Jones, E. A., 3:3
Journal entries, as program assessment, 4:5-7

Kahn, S., 2:15; 3:15
Keeling, R. P., 2:15
King's College, 6:15
Knowledge, development and dissemination, 5:6
Kramer, P. I., 6:8

Lavelle, J. P., 3:15
"Learning is a product" analogy, 4:15
Learning college model, 2:10-12
Learning outcomes, 3:11
Learning outcomes, conceptualizing, 2:11
Learning outcomes, credible assessment, 5:6-8
Learning outcomes, increased awareness, 2:3
Learning Support division, 2:11-12
Lencho, M., 2:3, 5
Lessinger, L., 4:2
Lewis, K. L., 6:5
Longrie, M., 2:3, 5
Loyola University of Chicago, 3:1

Lox, C. L., 4:5
Lumina Foundation for Education, 3:12; 4:4

Mallier, T., 4:15
Maryland Community College Vice Presidents and Deans of Students Affinity Group, 2:10
Matching, 6:12
McClellan, D., 2:10
McDoniel, L. J., 4:11
Measuring Up, 3:12
Middle States Commission on Higher Education, 2:10, 12
Milliken University, 6:14
Mills, S., 6:1
Ministry of Education and Religious Affairs, 1:10
Mission-based assessment, 4:11
Motivation to learn, 1:4; 4:15

National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity, 4:1
National Association of Independent Colleges and Universities, 3:12
National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges, 1:13; 3:13
National Center for Education Statistics, 3:12; 5:12
National Center for Public Policy in Higher Education, 3:12; 5:12
National Data Quality Campaign, 5:12
National Institute for Learning Outcomes Assessment, 4:3-4, 16
National Longitudinal Study, 3:12
National Policy Board on Institutional Accreditation, 1:14
National Science Foundation Course, Curriculum, and Laboratory Improvement grant, 3:1
National Student Clearinghouse, 3:12-13; 5:12
National Survey of Student Engagement, 1:7; 5:14
National Technical Institute for the Deaf, 4:8
New Leadership Alliance, 1:14
New Leadership for Student Learning and Accountability, 1:13-14
No Child Left Behind, 3:6-7; 4:1, 5; 5:11-12
Noel–Levitz Student Satisfaction Inventory, 1:7
Norm-referenced assessment instruments, 6:10
North Central Association of Colleges and Schools, 3:10
North Carolina State University, 2:3; 3:4
Northern Iowa University, 3:4

Obama-style community organizing, 6:7
Oklahoma State University, 5:3-4
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, 1:13; 4:4; 5:11
Ouimet J. A., 1:7; 5:14
Outcomes assessment, 1:15, 2:1; 4:3; 6:3, 7, 8, 15
Outcomes assessment, criticisms, 3:6-7
Outcomes assessment, departmental, 2:8
Outcomes assessment, grades and, 5:1-2

Papadimitriou, A., 1:10
Paradise Valley Community College, 5:9
Physical Education–Teacher Education, 4:5, 7
Pike, G. R., 1:7; 5:14; 6:11
Planning, integration of assessment, 5:9-9, 16
Political science major, integrating assessment, 6:1
Portfolio assessments, 1:10-12; 4:4; 5:3; 6:15
Post-epidemiological approach, 3:5
Postsecondary assessment, 2:1
Pretest-posttest design, 6:12
The Program Evaluation Standards, 3:5, 7
Programme on Institutional Management in Higher Education, 4:4
Propriety standards, 3:7
Public ambivalence, toward assessment, 5:8

Quality assurance, 6:3
Questionnaires, 1:7

Race to the Top initiative, 5:11
Rajala, S. A., 3:15
Randomized field trials, absence in higher education, 6:11-12
RiCharde, R. S., 1:1, 3, 15
Rochester Institute of Technology, 4:8
Rodgers, T., 4:15
Rubrics, critical thinking assessment, 1:3; 4:8-9
Rubrics, defining, 6:4, 13
Rubrics, dialectic thinking, 1:2
Rubrics, formal training, 3:4
Rubrics, interrater reliability, 1:3, 15
Rubrics, learning outcomes, 5:3, 13
Rubrics, writing assessment, 2:5; 5:3

St. Louis Community College, 4:11-13
St. Mary's College of Maryland, 3:4
San Jacinto College Central, 5:9
San Jose State University, 2:3
Schneller, B., 2:8
Seifert, K., 3:1
Service learning, 5:14
Sinclair Community College, 3:8-9
Six Core Competences, 4:2, 15
Smith, D. N., 5:6
Sorcinelli, M. D., 3:3
Southern Illinois university–Edwardsville, 4:5
Spellings, M., 1:13
Spurlin, J. E., 3:15
State Council of Higher Education for Virginia, 4:1, 15
State education agencies, 5:11-12
State Farm Companies Foundation, 4:4
State higher education executive offices, 5:12
State longitudinal data systems, 5:11-12
Stimulus Package, 5:11
Strengths approach, 3:11
Student interviews, 1:7
Student mobility, 5:12
Student portfolio system, 6:15
Student teachers, journal entries, 4:5-7
Sundre, D. L., 3:1
Surveys, 1:7
Swerdzewski, P. J., 6:5

Teachers, as advocate and judge, 5:7
Teagle Foundation, 1:13-14; 4:4
Texas Christian University, 3:3
Theory, use in questionnaire design, 1:7
Transfer Education Assessment Committee, 4:12-13
Tuning, 4:4; 6:3
Tuning U.S.A., 4:4, 16

Undergraduate research, 3:11
Underhile, R., 2:15
Unit record databases, 3:12; 5-12
University of Missouri, 6:11
University of South Florida, 2:3; 3:4
University of Wisconsin–LaCrosse, 2:5
University of Wisconsin–Whitewater, 2:3, 5

Valid Assessment of Learning in Undergraduate Education, 4:4
Virginia, system of higher education, 4:1
Virginia Senate Joint Resolution 125, 4:2
Voluntary System of Accountability, 1:13-14; 3:13

Wall, A. F., 2:15
Waterfall model, 3:11
Weinstein, D. A., 2:8
West Virginia University, 3:4
Western Interstate Commission on Higher Education, 5:12
What Works Clearinghouse, 6:11
Widener University, 3:3
Wigtil, C. J., 3:1
World of Ideas course, 2:5-7
Writing assessment, 2:3, 5; 4:8-10; 5:3

Year of the Department, 6:1, 15

Zakel, L. E., 3:8